

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/721,137 | 11/24/2003 | Mikio Yotsumoto | 10873.0888USD1 | 6360 |
| 23552 | 7590 10/28/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | OMGBA, ESSAMA | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3726 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| • | 10/721,137 | YOTSUMOTO ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Essama Omgba | 3726 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | • | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _, | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 and 42-44 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,27-30 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 19-26 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | г. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No. <u>10/088,338</u> . ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | .4) ☐ Interview Summary | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/03. | 6) Other: | акент друшацин (СТО-192) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Welter et al. (US Patent 5,074,477) in view of Adelmann (US Patent 5,251,824). With regards to claim 1, Welter et al. discloses a method for disassembling a refrigerator comprising collecting a refrigerant gas and removing a compressor (col. 2, lines 4-13), cutting processing and separating a heat-insulating housing including a heat insulator into a plurality of pieces (col. 5, lines 59-68 and col. 6, lines 1-4). Welter et al. does not disclose compressing/processing the pieces by compression rollers opposing each other so as to collect a gas contained in the heat insulator. However Adelmann teaches by compressing foamed plastic so as to collect foaming agents, see column 1, lines 49-64 and column 2, lines 5-12. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have compressed/processed the cut pieces of Welter et al. so as to collect a gas contained therein, in light of the teachings of Adelmann, in order to collect the gas at a low cost and leave only a minimal residue of gas. Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to properly compress the heatinsulating housing, see column 2, lines 10-12 of Adelmann.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

With regards to claim 2-5, Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to appropriately cut the refrigerator unit for processing.

3. Claims 6-18, 27-30 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welter et al./Adelmann as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Matsuda (US Patent 6,598,813).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

Welter et al./Adelmann teaches a method for disassembling a refrigerator as shown above except for a cutting device as claimed. However Matsuda teaches such cutting device, see whole document. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used such a cutting device in the method of Welter et al./Adelmann, in light of the teachings of Matsuda, in order to improve reliability of the cutting tool and increase its life span.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 19-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 5. applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Essama Omgba Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3726

eo

October 25, 2004